

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/842,435	HUGHES ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Joseph D. Torres	2133

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Papalas.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2004

**Time:** 11:40am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

11-29

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During a telephone conversation with Michael Papalas on 28 September 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 18-29.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)